## redmineorg-copy202205 - Vote #63522

Associated revisions are displayed in wrong order for Git, Mercurial (SHA-1 DVCSs)

2022/05/09 13:28 - Admin Redmine

ステータス:	Closed	開始日:	2008/06/29
優先度:	通常	期日:	
担当者:		進捗率:	0%
カテゴリ:	SCM_3	予定工数:	0.00時間
対象パージョン:	0.8_2	作業時間:	0.00時間
Redmineorg_URL:	https://www.redmine.org/issues/1546	status_id:	5
category_id:	3	tracker_id:	3
version_id:	2	plus1:	0
issue_org_id:	1546	affected_version:	
author_id:	1433	closed_on:	
assigned_to_id:	0	affected_version_id:	
comments:	3		

## 説明

Associated revisions are currently sorted by revision name, in ascending order. (@source:trunk/app/models/issue.rb@1594#L31@) However, certain SCMs don't use sequential numeric values (for instance: Git, Mercurial and Monotone use SHA-1 hashes), and the commits appear possibly in the wrong order for them.

This trivial patch tries to order first by the @committed\_on@ field, leaving the @revision@ as a secondary sorting column.

## journals

You're right. This sort order wasn't updated when the revision field was changed from numeric to string. Fixed in r1596.

has\_and\_belongs\_to\_many :changesets, :order => "#{Changeset.table\_name}.committed\_on ASC, #{Changeset.table\_name}.id ASC"

has\_and\_belongs\_to\_many :changesets, :order => "#{Changeset.table\_name}.committed\_on ASC, #{Changeset.table\_name}.id ASC"

can I suggest this would be nicer (or at least optional) to be DESC rather than ASC so the latest revision is the topmost, as per activity and most other lists throughout the interface? that's how I've set it in mine.

Changed in r2519. Changests are now sorted in the same order as the comments (which is based on a user preference).

## 履歴

#1 - 2022/05/10 17:28 - Admin Redmine

- カテゴリ を SCM\_3 にセット
- 対象バージョン を 0.8\_2 にセット

2024/04/25 1/1