

Query class inheritance

2022/05/09 18:03 - Admin Redmine

|                 |                                      |                      |            |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| ステータス:          | New                                  | 開始日:                 | 2022/05/09 |
| 優先度:            | 通常                                   | 期日:                  |            |
| 担当者:            |                                      | 進捗率:                 | 0%         |
| カテゴリ:           |                                      | 予定工数:                | 0.00時間     |
| 対象バージョン:        |                                      | 作業時間:                | 0.00時間     |
| Redmineorg_URL: | https://www.redmine.org/issues/23581 | status_id:           | 1          |
| category_id:    | 0                                    | tracker_id:          | 2          |
| version_id:     | 0                                    | plus1:               | 0          |
| issue_org_id:   | 23581                                | affected_version:    |            |
| author_id:      | 95427                                | closed_on:           |            |
| assigned_to_id: | 0                                    | affected_version_id: |            |
| comments:       | 0                                    |                      |            |

説明

I have a class inherited from query to perform filters and query jobs of my plugin. It works but some functions could be improved for better inheritance capability and extended functionality. Here some thin places:

some filters are desired to be not used for database requests.

some filters are desired to be a list value - suppose to have no operators.

filters could have its own operators.

There first problem is solved by overriding @validate\_query\_filters@ method and playing with @query.filters@ hash and writing @sql\_for\_XXXX\_field@ that returns empty string.

Here's the thin place for custom operators

```
add_filter_error(field, :blank) unless
  # filter requires one or more values
  (values_for(field) and !values_for(field).first.blank?) or
  # filter doesn't require any value
  ["o", "c", "!*", "**", "t", "ld", "w", "lw", "l2w", "m", "lm", "y", "*o", "!o"].include? operator_for(field)
end if filters
```

@["o", "c", "!", "", "t", "ld", "w", "lw", "l2w", "m", "lm", "y", "\*o", "!o"].include? operator\_for(field)@ is better to be replaced with something like this @unary\_operators.include? operator\_for(field)@

Even more @validate\_query\_filters@ should support validation of each filter separately. This should give availability of overriding filter validation independently.