#### redmineorg-copy202205 - Vote #81727

## Required field does not marked as required when user has multiple roles with different rules

2022/05/09 19:19 - Admin Redmine

ステータス:	New	開始日	2022/05/09
優先度:	通常	期日:	
担当者:		進捗率:	0%
カテゴリ:	Issues workflow_41	予定工数:	0.00時間
対象パージョン:		作業時間:	0.00時間
Redmineorg_URL:	https://www.redmine.org/issues/36278	status_id:	1
category_id:	41	tracker_id:	1
version_id:	0	plus1:	0
issue_org_id:	36278	affected_version:	
author_id:	147409	closed_on:	
assigned_to_id:	0	affected_version_id:	170
comments:	3		

#### 説明

In workflow permissions, when someone has multiple roles, suppose for a desired field, at least one rule is 'empty' (means default permission), and one is 'required'.

We expect this field to be required when editing, but it does not.

journals

#### Please remove invalid attachment. This is the true one

salman mp wrote:

In workflow permissions, when someone has multiple roles, suppose for a desired field, at least one rule is 'empty' (means default permission), and one is 'required'.

We expect this field to be required when editing, but it does not.

I don't think it is a defect. In my understanding, an empty value does not mean that there are no permissions, but means there are no restrictions. In other words, there are all permissions.

# In the case you have illustrated, the user has all permissions, so the field must not be marked as required.

Go MAEDA wrote:

I don't think it is a defect. In my understanding, an empty value does not mean that there are no permissions, but means there are no restrictions. In other words, there are all permissions.

In the case you have illustrated, the user has all permissions, so the field must not be marked as required.

I think in this case it is necessary to display the field as required, because it seems that the priority of "required" should be more than "no restriction" and the priority of "no restriction" should be more than "read only".

Note that when a user has multiple roles, he or she should have the highest permissions set on each field. Is that right?

Now (implemented):

read\_only + required -> required

Based on that, we expect:

no-restriction + required -> required

2024/04/25 1/2

no-restriction	+	read_only	->	no-restriction

### 履歴

#1 - 2022/05/10 16:58 - Admin Redmine

- カテゴリ を Issues workflow\_41 にセット

2024/04/25 2/2