

## Custom field properties "visible" and "editable" do not work for user

2022/05/09 19:20 - Admin Redmine

ステータス:	Closed	開始日:	2022/05/09
優先度:	通常	期日:	
担当者:		進捗率:	0%
カテゴリ:	Custom fields_14	予定工数:	0.00時間
対象バージョン:		作業時間:	0.00時間
Redmineorg_URL:	https://www.redmine.org/issues/36392	status_id:	5
category_id:	14	tracker_id:	1
version_id:	0	plus1:	0
issue_org_id:	36392	affected_version:	
author_id:	570309	closed_on:	
assigned_to_id:	0	affected_version_id:	170
comments:	3		

## 説明

Hi, thank you for developing Redmine, it's a tool that I use daily.

I want to let you know that yesterday I noticed a defect when playing around with custom fields in the context of the user entity.

The properties visible and editable can be deactivated for a custom field, but an existing user is opened the custom field:

- is visible when it should not
- is editable when it should not

Reproduction path:

1. Open Redmine as administrator
2. Change to view "Administration / Custom fields"
3. Create boolean custom field
  - name: isActive
  - description: whatever
  - default value: no
  - URL value: leave empty
  - display: Checkbox
4. Unset custom field properties in the same view
  - visible: ☐
  - editable: ☐
5. Save new custom field
6. Change to view "Administration / Users" and select any user
7. The selected user view contains the created custom field "isActive" which is both visible and editable.

Expectation: The selected user view hides the custom field

The affected version is v4.2.3.

Thank you for your attention and your work!

journals

It is the expected behavior.

Users with administrator privilege bypass all permission checks, so "Visible" and "Editable" checkboxes have no effects for them.

Go MAEDA wrote:

It is the expected behavior.

Users with administrator privilege bypass all permission checks, so "Visible" and "Editable" checkboxes have no effects for them.

Oh, then my apologies for the extra ticket and my thanks for the swift reply as well! Did I miss the documentation for this behavior then? Maybe the docs on custom fields could use a small clarification. Can I help out here?

Boris Schaa wrote:

Did I miss the documentation for this behavior then? Maybe the docs on custom fields could use a small clarification. Can I help out here?

Sorry, I don't know where the behavior is documented. Maybe documents should be improved.

---

## 履歴

#1 - 2022/05/10 16:58 - Admin Redmine

- カテゴリ を Custom fields\_14 にセット